

# Introduction to Database Systems

# INSTRUCTIONS

This is your exam. Complete it either at exam.cs61a.org or, if that doesn't work, by emailing course staff with your solutions before the exam deadline.

This exam is intended for the student with email address shivamsinghal@berkeley.edu. If this is not your email address, notify course staff immediately, as each exam is different. Do not distribute this exam PDF even after the exam ends, as some students may be taking the exam in a different time zone.

For questions with circular bubbles, you should select exactly one choice.

- $\bigcirc$  You must choose either this option
- $\bigcirc$  Or this one, but not both!

For questions with square checkboxes, you may select *multiple* choices.

- $\Box$  You could select this choice.
- $\Box$  You could select this one too!

You may start your exam now. Your exam is due at 07:30PM Pacific Time. Go to the next page to begin.

# Preliminaries

# 1 CS 186 Fall 2020 Final Exam (Online)

Do not share this exam until solutions are released.

### 1.0.1 Contents:

• The exam has 8 questions, each with multiple parts, and worth a total of 186 points.

# 1.0.2 Taking the exam:

- You have 170 minutes to complete the exam.
- You may print this exam to work on it.
- For each question, submit only your final answer on examtool.
- For numerical answers, do not input any suffixes (i.e. if your answer is 5 I/Os, only input 5 and not 5 I/Os or 5 IOs)
- Make sure to save your answers in examtool at the end of the exam, although the website should autosave your answers as well.

### 1.0.3 Aids:

- You may use three pages (double sided) of handwritten notes as well as a calculator.
- You must work individually on this exam.

#### 1.0.4 Grading Notes:

- All I/Os must be written as integers. There is no such thing as 1.02 I/Os that is actually 2 I/Os.
- 1 KB = 1024 bytes. We will be using powers of 2, not powers of 10
- Unsimplified answers, like those left in log format, will receive a half point penalty.
- (a) What is your full name?
- (b) What is your student ID number?
- (c) What was your favorite tiktok / video shown in class?
- (d) Out of our 27 lectures, how many did you attend live? Answer will not affect exam score in any way : )

# 1. (16 points) Final Potpourri

Choose True or False for each of the statements below.

(a) (1 pt) Running write-heavy query workloads on replicated databases is more efficient than running on key-based partitioned ones.

○ True

- $\bigcirc$  False
- (b) (1 pt) Objects in the same array can have different number of key-value pairs in them in a well-structured JSON document.
  - True

○ False

- (c) (1 pt) In broadcast join, we replicate the larger relation to all servers while partition the smaller relation across the servers.
  - True
  - False
- (d) (1 pt) For a query with a single join, the Selinger optimizer will always return the most efficient execution plan based on the provided cost estimates.
  - True
  - False
- (e) (1 pt) In Hadoop, all intermediates results generated by mappers are stored on the disk.
  - ⊖ True

○ False

- (f) (1 pt) Calling filter on an RDD will run the selection operation immediately to completion when invoked.
  - True
  - False
- (g) (1 pt) All data stored in a RDD must be in the form of a (key,value) pair.
  - True
  - False
- (h) (1 pt) You can express natural joins in relational algebra using just cross-products, selections, and projections.
  - ⊖ True
  - False

(i) (1 pt) Fixed length attributes with null values are treated identically to variable length attributes when determining record layout in a slotted page.

○ True

- False
- (j) (1 pt) An LRU buffer replacement strategy is better than MRU when supporting multiple sequential scans.
  - True
  - False
- (k) (1 pt) To keep a B+tree clustered, periodic modifications to the data structure is necessary even in a read-only workload.
  - ⊖ True
  - False
- (1) (1 pt) In OLAP, the dimension attributes are usually the GROUP BY attributes.
  - True
  - False
- (m) (1 pt) The record ID for a tuple can change for sorted files regardless of the page layout.
  - True
  - False
- (n) (1 pt) Using the record header to store only pointers to the start of each variable length field is sufficient for variable length records.
  - True
  - False
- (o) (1 pt) Inserting to a sorted file costs the same IOs as inserting to a heap file in the best case.
  - ⊖ True
  - False
- (p) (1 pt) Inserting records one by one sorted by the index column into a B+ tree achieves the same result as bulkloading with a fill factor of 1/2.
  - True
  - False

# 2. (28 points) Query Bop-timization

For this question, let's look at tables R, S, and T with the following properties. Assume all of the column distributions are independent of each other.

| Schema   | Known Table Stats                  |
|--|------------------------------------|
| CREATE TABLE <b>R</b> (a INTEGER, b FLOAT, c INTEGER)          | <b>R.c:</b> $\min = 1, \max = 40,$ |
| CREATE TABLE <b>S</b> (a INTEGER, b FLOAT, c BOOLEAN NOT NULL) | 20 unique values<br>None Known     |
| CREATE TABLE <b>T</b> (a INTEGER, b FLOAT, c INTEGER)          | <b>T.a:</b> 3 unique values with   |
|  | following distribution: $\{1:$     |
|  | 50%, 2: 25%, 3: 25%                |

# (a) (4 points) Selectivity Estimation

Express the selectivity for each of the following queries as a simplified fraction. Do not input spaces in your answer (eg. 2/3).

- i. (1 pt) SELECT \* FROM S WHERE S.c = TRUE
- ii. (1 pt) SELECT \* FROM T WHERE T.a <= 2
- iii. (2 pt) SELECT \* FROM R WHERE R.a <= 20 OR R.c=15 You may assume that 15 is one of the values that R.c can take.

(b) (10 points) System R Query Optimizer - Pass 1

For the next sections, we will optimize the following query using the System R (aka Selinger) query optimizer.

SELECT R.a, S.b, T.c
FROM R INNER JOIN S ON R.a = S.a
INNER JOIN T ON R.b = T.b
WHERE R.c <= 20 AND T.c <= 20
GROUP BY S.b</pre>

i. (2 pt) How many leaf nodes are there in a full, height 1, alternative 2, clustered index on R.c? Reminder that a full tree is one in which any additional unique key insertions would cause a change in height. Also, from previous info in this question, R.c has 20 unique values.

Hint: Try some possible fanouts/orders.

- ii. (3 pt) Let's assume that T.c has a height 1, alternative 3, clustered index. The index has 100 leaf nodes; each node contains 10 values and fits on exactly one page. Every value of T.c matches with 2 data pages worth of records. If we are given that sel(T.c <= 20) = 1/2, what is the IO cost of performing an index scan on T with this index?
- iii. (1 pt) Assume we have the following query and I/O costs for accessing single tables:

```
SELECT R.a, S.b, T.c
FROM R INNER JOIN S ON R.a = S.a
INNER JOIN T ON R.b = T.b
WHERE R.c <= 20 AND T.c <= 20
GROUP BY S.b</pre>
```

| Option | Access Plan          | I/O Cost   |
|--------|----------------------|------------|
| a      | R: Full scan         | A I/Os     |
| b      | R: Index scan on R.b | B I/Os     |
| с      | R: Index scan on R.c | C I/Os     |
| d      | S: Full scan         | D I/Os     |
| e      | T: Full scan         | $\to I/Os$ |
| f      | T: Index scan on T.b | F I/Os     |
| g      | T: Index scan on T.c | G I/Os     |

Give a bound on A that guarantees that option a will not be kept after Pass 1 of the optimizer.

 $\bigcirc$  B<A

 $\bigcirc$  C<A

 $\bigcirc$  Min(B,C)<A

 $\bigcirc$  Max(B,C)<A

 $\bigcirc$  None of these options

- iv. (1 pt) Give a bound on B that guarantees that option b will not be kept after Pass 1 of the optimizer.
  - $\bigcirc$  A<B
  - $\bigcirc$  C<B
  - $\bigcirc$  Min(A,C)<B
  - $\bigcirc$  Max(A,C)<B
  - $\bigcirc$  None of these options
- v. (1 pt) Give a bound on C that guarantees that option c will not be kept after Pass 1 of the optimizer.
  - $\bigcirc \ A{<}C$
  - $\bigcirc \ B{<}C$
  - $\bigcirc$  Min(A,B)<C
  - $\bigcirc$  Max(A,B)<C
  - $\bigcirc$  None of these options
- vi. (1 pt) Here is the query and table copied for convenience.

```
SELECT R.a, S.b, T.c
FROM R INNER JOIN S ON R.a = S.a
INNER JOIN T ON R.b = T.b
WHERE R.c <= 20 AND T.c <= 20
GROUP BY S.b</pre>
```

| Option | Access Plan          | I/O Cost      |
|--------|----------------------|---------------|
| a      | R: Full scan         | A I/Os        |
| b      | R: Index scan on R.b | B I/Os        |
| c      | R: Index scan on R.c | C I/Os        |
| d      | S: Full scan         | D I/Os        |
| e      | T: Full scan         | E I/Os        |
| f      | T: Index scan on T.b | F I/Os        |
| g      | T: Index scan on T.c | ${ m G~I/Os}$ |

Give a bound on E that guarantees that option e is the **only** access plan for table T kept after Pass 1 of the optimizer.

- $\bigcirc \ E{<}F$
- $\bigcirc$  E<G
- $\bigcirc$  E<Min(F,G)
- $\bigcirc$  E<Max(F,G)
- $\bigcirc$  None of these options

- vii. (1 pt) Give a bound on F that guarantees that option f is the only access plan for table T kept after Pass 1 of the optimizer.
  - $\bigcirc \ F{<}E$
  - $\bigcirc$  F<G
  - $\bigcirc$  F<Min(E,G)
  - $\bigcirc$  F<Max(E,G)
  - $\bigcirc\,$  None of these options

(c) (14 points) System R Query Optimizer - Pass 2/3

```
SELECT R.a, S.b, T.c
FROM R INNER JOIN S ON R.a = S.a
INNER JOIN T ON R.b = T.b
WHERE R.c <= 20 AND T.c <= 20
GROUP BY S.b
```

Let's now look at passes 2 and 3 of the System R Query Optimizer. Assume that:

- We have  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{5}$  buffer pages.
- Before applying selectivity, R has 200 pages and S has 15 pages. You should not assume these tables are ordered in any way.
- We know sel(R.c  $\leq 20$ ) is 1/10

We wish to calculate the cost of joining R and S with Sort Merge Join.

- i. (3 pt) What is the estimated I/O cost of fully sorting R?
- ii. (3 pt) What is the estimated I/O cost of fully sorting S?
- iii. (3 pt) What is the total estimated I/O cost of R SMJ S? Please make sure you provide the total cost of SMJ and remember to apply any possible optimizations.

```
iv. (1 pt)
SELECT R.a, S.b, T.c
FROM R INNER JOIN S ON R.a = S.a
INNER JOIN T ON R.b = T.b
WHERE R.c <= 20 AND T.c <= 20
GROUP BY S.b</pre>
```

If we use R SMJ S for the query above, which columns will the join output be sorted on? Mark all that apply.

🗌 R.a

🗆 R.b

 $\Box$  R.c

 $\Box$  S.a

□ S.b

□ S.c

□ T.a

T.b

 $\Box$  T.c

 $\Box$  None of these options

v. (1 pt)

```
SELECT R.a, S.b, T.c
FROM R INNER JOIN S ON R.a = S.a
INNER JOIN T ON R.b = T.b
WHERE R.c <= 20 AND T.c <= 20
GROUP BY S.b</pre>
```

Assume that we have the following I/O costs for joining 2 tables together:

| Option | Access Plan                   | Sorted on | I/O Cost   |
|--------|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| a      | $\mathbf{R}\bowtie\mathbf{S}$ | N/A       | A I/Os     |
| b      | $S \bowtie R$                 | S.b       | B I/Os     |
| c      | $S \bowtie T$                 | N/A       | C I/Os     |
| d      | $T\bowtie S$                  | S.b       | D I/Os     |
| e      | $\mathbf{R}\bowtie\mathbf{T}$ | N/A       | $\to I/Os$ |
| f      | $\mathbf{R}\bowtie\mathbf{T}$ | R.b       | F I/Os     |
| g      | $T \bowtie R$                 | T.c       | G I/Os     |

Give a bound that guarantees that option a will not be kept after pass 2 of the optimizer.

 $\bigcirc \ B{<}A$ 

 $\bigcirc$  Min(B,C,D,E,F,G)<A

 $\bigcirc$  Max(B,C,D,E,F,G)<A

 $\bigcirc$  None of these options

- vi. (1 pt) Give a bound that guarantees that option b will not be kept after pass 2 of the optimizer.
  - $\bigcirc$  A<B
  - $\bigcirc$  Min(A,C,D,E,F,G)<B
  - $\bigcirc$  Max(A,C,D,E,F,G)<B
  - $\bigcirc$  None of these options
- vii. (1 pt) Give a bound that guarantees that option d will be the only plan for joining S and T kept after pass 2 of the optimizer.
  - $\bigcirc D{<}C$
  - $\bigcirc$  D<Min(A,C,D,E,F,G)
  - $\bigcirc$  D<Max(A,C,D,E,F,G)
  - $\bigcirc$  None of the above
- viii. (1 pt) The Selinger/System R optimizer only considers Left Deep plans in order to reduce the query plan search space and improve the algorithm runtime.
  - O True
  - False

# 3. (23 points) It's all transactional to me

# (a) (5 points) On Schedule

Assume we have the following schedule with four transactions acting on resources A, B, and C. All transactions commit some time after timestamp 8.

|    | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    |
|----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| T1 |      |      |      | W(B) |      |      |      |      |
| T2 |      |      | W(A) | ~ /  |      |      | R(B) | R(A) |
| T3 | R(A) |      |      |      | R(C) |      |      |      |
| T4 |      | W(C) |      |      |      | W(B) |      |      |

- i. (2 pt) Which transactions point to T2 (if any) in the conflict serializability graph?
  - □ T1
  - $\Box$  T2
  - □ T3
  - □ T4
  - $\Box$  None of the above

ii. (1 pt) Is this schedule conflict serializable?

- Yes
- 🔘 No

iii. (2 pt) How many serial schedules are conflict-equivalent to this schedule?

# (b) (10 points) Ulysses S. Granted Set

The State of Wisconsin is using a database to store information about their recount for the presidential election. The database consists of 2 tables: Voters and Ballots. Voters consists of 2 pages labeled A and B while Ballots consists of 2 pages labeled C and D. Our database uses a multigranular locking system on these resources. Below is a table consisting of resource name, granted set, and waitlist. There are currently 4 transactions running. Waitlist requests are processed from left to right with the leftmost request to be granted next.

| Resource           | Granted  | Waitlist       |
|--------------------|--|----------------|
| Database<br>Voters | T1: IX, T2: IX, T3: IX, T4: IS<br>T1: IX, T3: IS | T2: SIX        |
| Ballots            | T2: SIX, T3: IS, T4: IS                          | T1: S, T3: SIX |
| А                  | T1: S  |                |
| В                  | T1: X  |                |
| С                  | T2: X  |                |
| D                  | T3: S  |                |

- i. (4 pt) Suppose T4 requests an S lock on pages A, B, C, and D. Assuming no other lock acquisitions have occurred besides those listed in the table (i.e. there are no intermediate IS or IX requests), which pages will immediately grant T4 the S lock?
  - $\Box$  A
  - 🗆 B
  - $\Box$  C
  - $\square$  D
  - $\Box$  None of the above
- ii. (2 pt) Identify all transactions involved in deadlock (if any) for the lock table above.
  - 🗌 T1
  - $\Box$  T2
  - 🗌 T3
  - $\Box$  T4
  - □ There is no deadlock
- iii. (1 pt) Here is the above table copied for your convenience.

| Resource | Granted                        | Waitlist       |
|----------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Database | T1: IX, T2: IX, T3: IX, T4: IS |                |
| Voters   | T1: IX, T3: IS                 | T2: SIX        |
| Ballots  | T2: SIX, T3: IS, T4: IS        | T1: S, T3: SIX |
| А        | T1: S                          |                |
| В        | T1: X                          |                |
| С        | T2: X                          |                |
| D        | T3: S                          |                |

Suppose that the lock table at the **next timestep** looks like the following:

| Resource     | Granted                        | Waitlist       |
|--------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Database     | T1: IX, T2: IX, T3: IX, T4: IS |                |
| Voters       | T1: IX, T3: IS                 | T2: SIX        |
| Ballots      | T2: SIX, T3: IS, T4: IS        | T1: S, T3: SIX |
| А            | T1: S                          |                |
| В            |                                |                |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | T2: X                          |                |
| D            | T3: S                          |                |

Which locking disciplines (if any) are *guaranteed* to be violated between the previous and current step?

- $\Box$  2 phase locking
- $\Box$  Strict 2 phase locking
- $\hfill\square$  None of the above
- iv. (1 pt) Suppose that we take a look at the corresponding transaction schedule and discover that it does not satisfy 2 phase locking, let alone strict 2 phase locking. This means that the schedule is not conflict-serializable. True or false?
  - $\bigcirc$  True
  - False
- v. (2 pt) Let's refer to the original lock table again. Here it is reproduced for your convenience:

| Resource     | Granted                        | Waitlist       |
|--------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Database     | T1: IX, T2: IX, T3: IX, T4: IS |                |
| Voters       | T1: IX, T3: IS                 | T2: SIX        |
| Ballots      | T2: SIX, T3: IS, T4: IS        | T1: S, T3: SIX |
| А            | T1: S                          |                |
| В            | T1: X                          |                |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | T2: X                          |                |
| D            | T3: S                          |                |

Suppose T2 suddenly aborts. Which current waitlist requests (if any) are granted?

- $\Box$  T2: SIX
- $\Box$  T1: S
- □ T3: SIX
- $\Box$  None of the above

# (c) (8 points) Dead(locked) Phantoms

In this section, we will explore some design choices involving concurrency and transactions.

i. (2 pt) Course staff has been running into issues with the phantom problem in our database! As a refresher, the phantom problem occurs when one transaction reads different data in consecutive reads because a concurrent transaction has inserted/deleted a tuple in the meantime. For example, if T1 reads a list of products, then T2 inserts a new product, and then T1 re-reads, T1 will view a new product which is our "phantom" tuple. Can you suggest a strategy for preventing these phantom reads while still maintaining concurrency (this means locking the entire database is not a valid answer)?

To make your strategy concrete, assume the course staff database uses a single table known as **Students** which consists of two columns: **sid** (which is the primary key) and **grade**. The only two operations our transactions perform are reading grades from a range of **sids** and inserting a new student into the table. Assume no indices exist in the database.

ii. (2 pt) The course staff database now seems to be constantly blocked by deadlock. A friend suggests a new policy: every transaction can only make one X lock request at a time. This means that every transaction can only write to one resource at a time. If a transaction needs to do more than one write, it will need to release its current X lock before it can request another one. She argues that this will eliminate deadlock. Is the friend correct? If yes, explain why this approach eliminates deadlock in 2-3 sentences. If no, specify the minimum number of locks a transaction must be either holding or waiting for to be involved in deadlock.

- iii. (1 pt) Course staff decides now that it wants to be able to use view serializable schedules in our database. One of the TAs suggests the following approach to check whether a schedule is view serializable. The first step is to create the conflict dependency graph as we learned in class. However, we want to also keep track of the last timestamp of a write for each resource. Now, we do a second pass over the schedule. For every conflict we see this time, we *remove* the edge from the existing conflict dependency graph if the conflict is between two writes that are not the last write to any resource; otherwise, we keep the edge in. If the resulting graph is cyclic, the schedule cannot be view serializable. Is the TA correct?
  - Yes
  - O No
- iv. (3 pt) If you answered yes, explain in 2-3 sentences why a cycle in this new graph implies that the schedule is not view serializable. If you answered no, provide an example of a view serializable schedule whose modified conflict dependency graph is cyclic. In order to do this, you must separate the operations at each timestamp with commas. You are allowed a maximum of four timestamps, two transactions labeled T1 and T2, and at most two resources labeled A and B. As an example, if you believe the following schedule is view serializable but has a cycle:

|    | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    |
|----|------|------|------|------|
| T1 | R(A) |      | W(A) |      |
| T2 |      | R(B) |      | W(B) |

Your answer would be: T1R(A),T2R(B),T1W(A),T2W(B).

# 4. (35 points) Recovering From 2020

(a) (4 points) True or False

For the next 4 questions, indicate whether the statement is True or False.

- i. (1 pt) Logging an UPDATE record using ARIES *always* involves updating the following state: prevLSN, lastLSN, recLSN, pageLSN, and flushedLSN.
  - True
  - $\bigcirc$  False
- ii. (1 pt) In ARIES, CLRs can be used to undo a CLR if the corresponding transaction aborts.
  - True
  - False
- iii. (1 pt) Committing a transaction can cost more disk I/Os under UNDO logging than under ARIES.
  - True
  - False
- iv. (1 pt) In ARIES, if a page P is in the Dirty Page Table, then the following inequalities must be true:
  - pageLSN<sub>P</sub>(memory)  $\geq$  recLSN<sub>P</sub>
  - $pageLSN_P(disk) \le pageLSN_P(memory)$
  - True
  - False

# (b) (12 points) Running out the Clock

The following log shows the operations the database has performed using ARIES. The buffer manager is constrained to **4** buffer frames and uses the **clock policy**.

Assume the following:

- Buffer frames are only used for data pages. The log tail is stored in a different part of memory with unlimited storage.
- The buffer manager accesses data pages in the exact order shown in the log and no other data pages are accessed.
- The buffer frames begin empty with the clock hand pointing at the first frame.
- Each page is pinned right before the access and unpinned right after the access.
- All on-disk pageLSNs are 0 initially.
- The WAL is not flushed for Aborts.

Your answers should reflect the state of the database after all operations shown below have executed.

*Hint:* Consider all of the actions taken when a data page is fetched or flushed under ARIES.

| LSN | Record                           | prevLSN |
|-----|----------------------------------|---------|
| 0   | Master                           | _       |
| 10  | Update T1 writes P2              | null    |
| 20  | Update T2 writes P3              | null    |
| 30  | Update T3 writes P1              | null    |
| 40  | Update T2 writes P4              | 20      |
| 50  | Update T3 writes P5              | 30      |
| 60  | Abort T2                         | 40      |
| 70  | Update T1 writes P1              | 10      |
| 80  | Update T3 writes P2              | 50      |
| 90  | CLR T2 LSN 40, undoNextLSN: 20   | 60      |
| 100 | CLR T2 LSN 20, undoNextLSN: null | 90      |
| 110 | T3 writes P1                     | 80      |
| 120 | End T2                           | 100     |

- i. (2 pt) What is the access pattern of the data pages recorded in the log? Express your answer using capital letters followed by numbers for the pages, and separate each access with a comma and no spaces. For example, if you believe that according to the log, P1, P2, and P3 are accessed in that order, then you should write P1,P2,P3.
- ii. (1 pt) What is the hit rate for the buffer manager? Write your answer as a simplified fraction.

- iii. (1 pt) What frame does the clock hand point to after all of the above operations are complete?
  - $\bigcirc$  Frame 1
  - $\bigcirc$  Frame 2
  - Frame 3
  - $\bigcirc$  Frame 4

iv. (2 pt) What is the recLSN of page P2? If the page is not in the Dirty Page Table, answer N/A.

- v. (2 pt) What is the recLSN of page P4? If the page is not in the Dirty Page Table, answer N/A.
- vi. (2 pt) What is the on-disk pageLSN of page P3?
- vii. (2 pt) What is the flushedLSN?

# (c) (5 points) Analyze This

| LSN | Record                      | prevLSN |
|-----|-----------------------------|---------|
| 0   | Master                      | -       |
| 10  | Update T1 writes P1         | null    |
| 20  | Update T1 writes P4         | 10      |
| 30  | Update T2 writes P2         | null    |
| 40  | Begin Checkpoint            | -       |
| 50  | Update T3 writes P1         | null    |
| 60  | Commit T1                   | 20      |
| 70  | Update T3 writes P2         | 50      |
| 80  | End Checkpoint              | -       |
| 90  | Update T2 writes P4         | 30      |
| 100 | End T1                      | 60      |
| 110 | Begin Checkpoint            | -       |
| 120 | Abort T3                    | 70      |
| 130 | CLR LSN 70, undoNextLSN: 50 | 120     |
|     | CRASH                       |         |

Your database using ARIES crashes and you find the following log.

The End Checkpoint record contains the following:

# Transaction Table

| Xact ID | Status     | lastLSN |
|---------|------------|---------|
| T1      | Committing | 60      |
| T2      | Running    | 30      |
| T3      | Running    | 50      |
|         |            |         |

Dirty Page Table

| Page ID | recLSN |
|---------|--------|
| P1      | 50     |
| P4      | 20     |

Answer the following questions based on the state of the database after the end of the **entire** Analysis phase.

i. (2 pt) How many log records are written during the Analysis phase?

- ii. (1 pt) What is the status of T1?
  - Running
  - $\bigcirc$  Committing
  - $\bigcirc$  Aborting
  - $\bigcirc\,$  Not in the Transaction Table
- iii. (1 pt) What is the status of T3?
  - Running
  - $\bigcirc$  Committing
  - $\bigcirc$  Aborting
  - $\bigcirc$  Not in the Transaction Table

iv. (1 pt) What is the recLSN of page P2? If the page is not in the Dirty Page Table, answer N/A.

# (d) (5 points) RRR (and Redo) Week

| LSN | Record                         | prevLSN |
|-----|--------------------------------|---------|
| 0   | Master                         | -       |
| 10  | Update T1 writes P2            | null    |
| 20  | Update T2 writes P3            | null    |
| 30  | Update T1 writes P1            | 10      |
| 40  | Update T3 writes P2            | null    |
| 50  | Begin Checkpoint               | -       |
| 60  | Update T3 writes P1            | 40      |
| 70  | Commit $T2$                    | 20      |
| 80  | End Checkpoint                 | -       |
| 90  | Update T1 writes P4            | 30      |
| 100 | Update T3 writes P3            | 60      |
| 110 | Abort T1                       | 90      |
| 120 | CLR T1 LSN 90, undoNextLSN: 30 | 110     |
| 130 | CLR T1 LSN 30, undoNextLSN: 10 | 120     |
| 140 | End T2                         | 70      |
| 150 | Abort T3                       | 100     |
|     | CRASH                          |         |

This question is independent from the last question. Consider the following new log for your database using ARIES. The database crashed and assume the entire Analysis Phase has been completed.

The Transaction Table and the Dirty Page Table after the entire Analysis Phase has completed are shown below:

# **Transaction Table**

| Xact ID | Status   | lastLSN |
|---------|----------|---------|
| T1      | Aborting | 130     |
| T3      | Aborting | 150     |

**Dirty Page Table** 

| Page ID | recLSN |
|---------|--------|
| P1      | 30     |
| P2      | 40     |
| P4      | 90     |

The following table indicates the on-disk pageLSN for each page before the Redo Phase has begun.

| Page ID | pageLSN |
|---------|---------|
| P1      | 0       |
| P2      | 10      |
| P3      | 100     |
| P4      | 0       |

- i. (1 pt) What LSN does the Redo phase begin at?
- ii. (4 pt) Select the LSNs of all of the operations that are redone during the Redo phase.
  - $\Box$  0
  - □ 10
  - $\Box$  20
  - $\Box$  30
  - $\Box$  40
  - $\Box$  50
  - 60
  - □ 70
  - 80
  - 90
  - □ 100
  - □ 110
  - □ 120
  - □ 130
  - 140
  - □ 150

# (e) (5 points) CTRL + Z

Consider the same log, Transaction Table, and Dirty Page Table from the last problem, which have been copied below for convenience. Again, the database is using ARIES and assume after the crash that both the Analysis and Redo phases have been completed.

| LSN | Record                         | prevLSN |
|-----|--------------------------------|---------|
| 0   | Master                         | -       |
| 10  | Update T1 writes P2            | null    |
| 20  | Update T2 writes P3            | null    |
| 30  | Update T1 writes P1            | 10      |
| 40  | Update T3 writes P2            | null    |
| 50  | Begin Checkpoint               | -       |
| 60  | Update T3 writes P1            | 40      |
| 70  | Commit T2                      | 20      |
| 80  | End Checkpoint                 | -       |
| 90  | Update T1 writes P4            | 30      |
| 100 | Update T3 writes P3            | 60      |
| 110 | Abort T1                       | 90      |
| 120 | CLR T1 LSN 90, undoNextLSN: 30 | 110     |
| 130 | CLR T1 LSN 30, undoNextLSN: 10 | 120     |
| 140 | End T2                         | 70      |
| 150 | Abort T3                       | 100     |
|     | CRASH                          |         |

# **Transaction Table**

| Xact ID  | Status               | lastLSN                                   |
|----------|----------------------|---|
| T1<br>T3 | Aborting<br>Aborting | $\begin{array}{c} 130 \\ 150 \end{array}$ |

Dirty Page Table

| Page ID | recLSN |
|---------|--------|
| P1      | 30     |
| P2      | 40     |
| P4      | 90     |

- i. (2 pt) How many log records are written during the Undo phase?
- ii. (1 pt) What is the maximum LSN of an operation for transaction T1 that has a corresponding CLR written during the Undo phase? If no CLRs are written for transaction T1, answer N/A.

- iii. (1 pt) What is the maximum LSN of an operation for transaction T2 that has a corresponding CLR written during the Undo phase? If no CLRs are written for transaction T2, answer N/A.
- iv. (1 pt) What is the maximum LSN of an operation for transaction T3 that has a corresponding CLR written during the Undo phase? If no CLRs are written for transaction T3, answer N/A.

# (f) (4 points) Masking Mallory's High Crimes and Misdemeanors

i. (2 pt) Your arch nemesis Mallory, known for her exploits, has found a way to infiltrate your database, which uses ARIES. Mallory has gained full control over all on-disk pageLSNs, and she can update them however and whenever she wants. In 2-4 sentences state which ACID property/properties (if any) Mallory can violate and explain how.

ii. (2 pt) You successfully revoked Mallory's access of the on-disk pageLSNs, but you are now worried that Mallory can cause your database to *repeatedly* crash during the ARIES recovery process. In 2-4 sentences state 2 changes you can implement to reduce the effect of Mallory's attack on the latency of the recovery process and explain your answer.

# 5. (19 points) Normalize Normalization

Consider a relation R(A,B,C,D,E) with the following functional dependencies:

- (a) A -> C
- (b) BC -> D
- (c) CD  $\rightarrow$  E
- (d)  $E \rightarrow A$
- (a) (4 pt) Enumerate all of the (minimal) candidate keys in this relation. Separate them by commas, with no spaces in between. When listing keys, list them in alphabetically increasing order. (Thus, if your answers are ABC and DCB, list them as:ABC,BCD)
- (b) (3 pt) Which of the functional dependencies above are BCNF violations? List the corresponding FD numbers in increasing order. Thus, if your answer is AB->C and CD->E, list them as:1,3
- (c) (3 pt) Using the BCNF decomposition algorithm described in class, and the first FD you listed in the previous question, provide the first step of your decomposition. Simply list the schemas of the two relations that result. When listing schemas, list them in alphabetically increasing order. Thus, if your answer is R(A, B, C) and S(A, C, E, D), then list: ABC,ACDE
- (d) (3 pt) Using your answer from the previous question, continue decomposing the relations until you arrive at a BCNF decomposition. Simply list the schemas of the eventual relations. When listing schemas, list them in alphabetically increasing order. Thus, if your answer is R(A, B), S(C, D), and T(E, D, A), then list: AB,ADE,CD
- (e) (3 pt) Are there any other BCNF decompositions? If not, simply answer "No". If yes, list the schemas of the eventual relations. When listing schemas, list them in alphabetically increasing order. Thus, if your answer is R(A, B), S(C, D), and T(E, D, A), then list: AB,ADE,CD
- (f) (3 pt) There is a single FD of the form X->Y where X and Y are both single attributes such that by adding that FD, there are no longer any violations in the original set. What is that FD? [Answer this as "X->Y", replacing X and Y each with one of A-E.]

#### 6. (32 points) Parallel Parking

Suppose we have the following tables:

Cars(car\_id, manufacturer, model, cost) Parking(car\_id, location, start, end)

We will abbreviate Cars as C and Parking as P. C has 450 pages of data while P has 150 pages of data.

- (a) (3 pt) Both C and P have been round robin partitioned across three machines. In the worst case, how many KB of data will be sent across the network in a parallel grace hash join? Suppose that we have 5 buffer pages on each machine and that each page has 4 KB of data.
- (b) (3 pt) Now, suppose C and P have been equally range partitioned across three machines according to the same ranges on the car\_id column. How many KB of data will be sent across the network in a parallel sort merge join? Again, suppose that we have 5 buffer pages on each machine and that each page has 4 KB of data.
- (c) (3 pt) Now, suppose C and P have been hash partitioned across three machines on car\_id. We want to run the following query:

SELECT MAX(car\_id) FROM Parking;

How many I/Os across all machines will it take to execute this query? Again, suppose that we have 5 buffer pages on each machine and that each page has 4 KB of data.

(d) (3 pt) As in the previous part, suppose C and P have been hash partitioned across three machines on car\_id. We want to run the following query:

SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT car\_id) FROM Parking;

How many KB of data will be sent across the network to execute this query in the worst case? Again, suppose that we have 5 buffer pages and that each page has 4 KB of data. Do not include the cost of aggregating the results for the query.

(e) (3 pt) As in the previous part, suppose C and P have been hash partitioned across three machines (M1, M2, and M3) on car\_id. Each of the three machines now has 30 buffer pages, and now we want to perform a parallel grace hash join between C and P.

M1 has 80 pages of data from C and 70 pages of data from P.

M2 has 120 pages of data from C and 60 pages of data from P.

M3 has 250 pages of data from C and 20 pages of data from P.

For the remaining questions, assume that data is streamed from the network directly into memory during partitioning, so each machine does not incur any read I/Os when data is received from the network. Also, assume that the hash function used to partition in grace hash join is perfect.

What is the IO cost of a grace hash join on machine M1?

- (f) (3 pt) What is the IO cost of a grace hash join on machine M2?
- (g) (3 pt) What is the IO cost of a grace hash join on machine M3?
- (h) (2 pt) Suppose that each I/O takes 1 ms. How long does it take to do the entire parallel grace hash join? Assume that network costs are negligible, so do not include them in your calculation.

(i) (3 pt) We gain access to three more machines (M1.1, M1.2, and M1.3) with 10 buffer pages each, but they can only receive input from M1. As data is streamed into M1, we decide to do a further range partition based on car\_id. Assume that M1 immediately partitions and sends the data through the network as it is received, without writing it to disk.

M1.1 has 30 pages of data from C and 40 pages of data from P. M1.1 contains car\_id values less than or equal to 50.

M1.2 has 30 pages of data from C and 20 pages of data from P. M1.2 contains car\_id values greater than 50 and less than 100.

M1.3 has 20 pages of data from C and 10 pages of data from P. M1.3 contains car\_id values greater than or equal to 100.

Instead of doing a hash join on M1, we decide to do a sort merge join on M1 using the three submachines. If each I/O takes 1 ms, how long does it take to do the parallel un-optimized sort merge join? Assume that data is streamed from the network directly into memory during partitioning, so each machine does not incur any read I/Os when data is received from the network.

(j) (2 pt) If each I/O takes 1 ms, how long does it now take to do the entire parallel join? For this part only, you can use your answers to previous parts of the question, or you may assume that M2 takes 557.167 ms to complete its part of the join and that M3 takes 534.178 ms to complete its part of the join. Note that these are not the correct answers to previous parts of the question.

(k) (2 pt) We then aggregate all results from M1.1, M1.2, M1.3, M2, and M3. Is the resulting join output correct? Explain your answer.

(1) (2 pt) Is it guaranteed for the resulting join to have an interesting order? Explain your answer.

# 7. (17 points) Distributed (Vaccine) Transactions

- (a) (1 pt) Which strategy do we use to perform distributed deadlock detection?
  - $\bigcirc$  Intersection of individual waits-for graphs
  - Union of individual waits-for graphs
  - Union of individual conflict dependency graphs
  - Intersection of the individual conflict dependency graphs
  - $\bigcirc$  None of the above
- (b) (1 pt) Assuming no presumed abort, a participant does not have to ACK a phase 2 abort message from the coordinator.
  - ⊖ True
  - $\bigcirc$  False

#### (c) (4 points) Message Verification

Say that we have three machines, named M1, M2, and M3, all working on the same transaction. M1 is designated the coordinator, and the other two machines are designated as participants. We pose three scenarios in the following questions. Assume the following: The scenarios are all independent of each other. The questions in this scenario are ordered in chronological order. We are under presumed abort. None of the machines crash, unless a machine is explicitly stated to have crashed in the question statement. Machines always receive messages, unless they are crashed at the time of the message being sent; in that case, assume that the machine did not receive the message. There is a network connection between M1 and M2, and a network connection between M1 and M3.

i. Scenario 1

For each set of potential actions, select the action(s) that would happen for the case where the transaction successfully commits. If none of the actions are correct, select "None of the above."

We start with M1 sending a PREPARE message to all participants.

- A. (1 pt)
  - □ M2 sends a VOTE YES message to M1
  - □ M2 sends a VOTE NO message to M1
  - $\Box$  None of the above

#### B. (1 pt)

- □ M3 sends a VOTE YES message to M1
- □ M3 sends a VOTE NO message to M1
- $\Box$  None of the above
- C. (1 pt)
  - □ M1 sends a COMMIT message to all participants
  - □ M1 sends an ABORT message to all participants
  - $\Box$  None of the above

# D. (1 pt)

 $\hfill\square$  M2 sends an ACK message to M1

- $\hfill\square$  M3 sends an ACK message to M1
- $\hfill\square$  None of the above

### ii. (4 points) Scenario 2

Now, consider the scenario where M2 votes yes while M3 votes no. For each set of potential actions, select the action(s) that would happen. If none of the actions are correct, select "None of the above."

We start with M1 sending a PREPARE message to all participants.

# A. (1 pt)

- □ M2 sends a VOTE YES message to M1
- $\hfill\square$  M2 sends a VOTE NO message to M1
- $\hfill\square$  None of the above

# B. (1 pt)

- □ M3 sends a VOTE YES message to M1
- □ M3 sends a VOTE NO message to M1
- $\hfill\square$  None of the above

# C. (1 pt)

- □ M1 sends a COMMIT message to all participants
- □ M1 sends an ABORT message to all participants
- $\Box$  None of the above

# D. (1 pt)

- $\hfill\square$  M2 sends an ACK message to M1
- $\square$  M3 sends an ACK message to M1
- $\Box$  None of the above

### iii. (7 points) Scenario 3

Now, select all of the valid actions for the scenario where M1, M2, and M3 participate in a transaction that successfully commits. However, M3 crashes during the process. It is noted within the scenario when M3 crashes and when it later recovers. For each set of potential actions, select the action(s) that would happen. If none of the actions are correct, select "None of the above."

We start with M1 sending a PREPARE message to all participants.

# A. (1 pt)

- $\square$  M2 logs a PREPARE record
- $\square$  M2 logs an ABORT record
- $\Box$  None of the above

# B. (1 pt)

- □ M2 sends a VOTE YES message to M1
- $\Box$  M2 sends a VOTE NO message to M1
- $\Box$  None of the above

# C. (1 pt)

- □ M3 logs a PREPARE record
- □ M3 logs an ABORT record
- $\hfill\square$  None of the above

#### D. (1 pt)

- □ M3 sends a VOTE YES message to M1
- □ M3 sends a VOTE NO message to M1
- $\Box$  None of the above
- E. (1 pt) M3 crashes at this point, immediately after the action(s) in the previous question. What happens next?
  - □ M1 sends a COMMIT message to all participants
  - □ M1 sends an ABORT message to all participants
  - $\Box$  None of the above
- F. (1 pt) M3 recovers at this point, and M3 asks M1 what the final decision was. What happens next?
  - □ M1 sends a COMMIT message to M3
  - □ M1 sends an ABORT message to M3
  - $\Box$  None of the above

# G. (1 pt)

 $\hfill\square$  M2 sends an ACK message to M1

- $\hfill\square$  M3 sends an ACK message to M1
- $\hfill\square$  None of the above

- 8. (16 points) No Free Lunch, SQL
  - (a) (5 points) True or False
    - i. (1 pt) Unlike the guarantee provided by ACID, databases modeled on BASE principles make no guarantees about consistency.
      - O True
      - False
    - ii. (1 pt) A lookup operation in Mongo's query language is most similar to a FULL OUTER JOIN in SQL.
      - True
      - False
    - iii. (1 pt) Key-Value stores typically only allow string/integers as keys, but can allow any type of data to be stored as a value.
      - True
      - False
    - iv. (1 pt) Modern RDBMSs are unable to support semi-structured data like XML or JSON.
      - ⊖ True
      - False
    - v. (1 pt) One of the motivating forces behind developing NoSQL systems is the need to handle large Online Transaction Processing (OLTP) workloads.
      - True
      - False

# (b) (9 points)

You work for a large, online marketplace that handles thousands of purchases each day from millions of customers around the world. The company has the following collections in their Mongo database:

The customers collections contains documents representing every unique customer that has ever made a purchase through the company. Each document may have the following fields:

- customerId: a unique identifier for the customer. Always present
- age: the customer's age if it is known, otherwise the field is not present
- city: the customer's city of residence if it is known, otherwise the field is not present

The **purchases** collection contains documents representing individual purchases customers have made. Each has the following fields:

- customerId: identifier for the customer who made this purchase, corresponding to the field of the same name in customers. An index on this field is maintained for fast lookups. Always present
- name: The name of the product that was purchased. Always present
- time: integer representing when the purchase was made. Always present

You can assume that if a field does not exist in a document, a match on that field will filter out that document from the final result without erroring.

i. (2 pt) Consider the following query:

Which of the following is the closest equivalent to the query above in SQL?

| $\bigcirc$ | SELECT | * | FROM | customers | WHERE | city | = | 'Berkeley' | OR  | (age   | >=   | 18   | AND   | age  | <  | 25); |
|------------|--------|---|------|-----------|-------|------|---|------------|-----|--------|------|------|-------|------|----|------|
| $\bigcirc$ | SELECT | * | FROM | customers | WHERE | city | = | 'Berkeley' | AND | ) (age | e >= | = 18 | 3 OR  | age  | <  | 25); |
| $\bigcirc$ | SELECT | * | FROM | customers | WHERE | city | = | 'Berkeley' | AND | ) age  | >=   | 18   | AND   | age  | <  | 25;  |
| $\bigcirc$ | SELECT | * | FROM | customers | WHERE | city | = | 'Berkeley' | OR  | age >  | >= 1 | 18 ( | )R ag | ge < | 25 | 5;   |

ii. (2 pt) Consider the following query:

```
db.purchases.aggregate(
    {
        time: {
            $gte: 0,
            $lt: 1000
        }
    },
    {
            $project: {
            _id: 0,
            newTime: "$time",
            age: 1
            }
      }
)
```

Which of the following is the closest equivalent to the query above in relational algebra?  $\bigcirc$ 

| $\bigcirc$ | $\pi_{\text{newTime, age}}(\sigma_{\text{time} \ge 0 \land \text{time1000}}(\text{purchases}))$  |
|------------|--|
| 0          | $\sigma_{\text{time} \ge 0 \land \text{time} 1000}(\pi_{\text{newTime, age}}(\text{purchases}))$   |
| 0          | $\pi_{\text{newTime, age}}(\rho_{\text{time} \to \text{newTime}}(\sigma_{\text{time} \ge 0 \land \text{time} 1000}(\text{purchases})))$  |
| 0          | $ \rho_{\text{time} \to \text{newTime}}(\pi_{\text{newTime, age}}(\sigma_{\text{time} \ge 0 \land \text{time1000}}(\text{purchases}))) $ |

iii. (3 pt) Consider the following query for the next 3 questions.

```
db.customers.aggregate(
    [
        {
            $lookup: {
                from: "purchases",
                localField: "customerId",
                foreignField: "customerId",
                as: "customerPurchases"
            }
        },
        { $unwind: "$customerPurchases"},
        {
            $match: {
                city: "Berkeley",
                age: {
                     $gte: 18,
                     $lt: 25
                },
                customerPurchases: {
                    time: {
                         $gte: 1584576000, // Timestamp for March 19th, 2020, 12AM
                         $lt: 1584662400 // Timestamp for March 20th, 2020, 12AM
                     }
                }
            }
        }
    ]
)
```

Describe each of the fields present in the documents produced by this query. If a field's value is a nested document, list (but don't describe) the fields of the nested document as well.

iv. (2 pt) You attempt to run the query but notice that it seems to be running slowly without producing any output. You run individual queries on the customers and purchases collections (no lookups) successfully and rule out connectivity/machine availability problems, and know with certainty there should be at least one document outputted by the query. You decide that the problem must be with the query itself. Explain why the query is running slowly.

Hint: MongoDB's query optimizer is relatively simple and can miss opportunities for optimizations like the ones we covered in class. For example, it only "pushes down selects" when they are preceded by a projection stage. v. (2 pt) Describe a change you can make to the query to speed up the time it takes to execute without changing the set of documents that should be outputted. Be specific about which fields are involved in your changes and the location you place any new stages you introduce to the pipeline.

No more questions.