#### Final

Name:

SID:

### Name and SID of student to your left:

### Name and SID of student to your right:

#### Exam Room:

 $\Box$  RSF Fieldhouse  $\Box$  Soda 405  $\Box$  Wozniak Lounge  $\Box$  Other

#### Please color the checkbox completely. Do not just tick or cross the box.

#### **Rules and Guidelines**

- The exam is out of 200 points and will last 170 minutes. Roughly, one should expect to spend just less than a minute for a point.
- Answer all questions. Read them carefully first. Not all parts of a problem are weighted equally.
- Write your student ID number in the indicated area on each page.
- Be precise and concise. Write in the solution box provided. You may use the blank page on the back for scratch work, but it will not be graded. Box numerical final answers.
- The problems may **not** necessarily follow the order of increasing difficulty. *Avoid getting stuck on a problem.*
- Any algorithm covered in lecture can be used as a blackbox. Algorithms from homework need to be accompanied by a proof or justification as specified in the problem.
- Good luck!


## **Discussion Section**

Which of these do you consider to be your primary discussion section(s)? Feel free to choose multiple, or to select the last option if you do not attend a section. Please color the checkbox completely. Do not just tick or cross the boxes.

🗆 Arpita, Thursday 9 - 10 am, Dwinelle 223	🗆 Avni, Thursday 10 - 11 am, Dwinelle 215
🗆 Emaan, Thursday 10 am - 11 pm, Etcheverry 3107	□ Lynn, Thursday 11 am - 12 pm, Barrows 118
□ Dee, Thursday 11 am - 12 pm, Wheeler 30	□ Max, Thursday 12 - 1 pm, Wheeler 220
🗆 Sean, Thursday 1 - 2 pm, Etcheverry 3105	🗆 Neha, Thursday 2 - 3 pm, Dwinelle 242
🗆 Julia, Thursday 2 - 3 pm, Etcheverry 3105	🗆 Henry, Thursday 3 - 4 pm, Haviland 12
🗆 Kedar, Thursday 3 - 4 pm, Barrows 104	□ Ajay, Thursday 4-5 pm, Barrows 136
🗆 Varun, Thursday 4-5 pm, Dwinelle 242	🗆 Gillian, Friday 9 - 10 am, Dwinelle 79
🗆 Hermish, Friday 10 - 11 am, Evans 9	🗆 Vishnu, Friday 11 am - 12 pm, Wheeler 222
🗆 Carlo, Friday 11 am - 12 pm, Dwinelle 109	🗆 Tarun, Friday 12 - 1 pm, Hildebrand B56
🗆 Noah, Friday 12 - 1 pm, Hildebrand B51	□ Jiazheng, Friday 1 - 2 pm, Wheeler 30
🗆 Claire, Friday 2 - 3 pm, Barrows 155	🗆 Jialin, Friday 1 - 2 pm, Wheeler 30
🗆 Teddy, Friday 1 - 2 pm, Dwinelle 105	🗆 Jierui, Friday 2 - 3 pm, Wheeler 202
🗆 David, Friday 2 - 3 pm, Wheeler 130	🗆 Nate, Friday 2 - 3 pm, Evans 9
🗆 Rishi, Friday 3 - 4 pm, Dwinelle 243	🗆 Tiffany, Friday 3 - 4 pm, LeConte 385
□ Ida, Friday 3-4 pm, Dwinelle 109	□ Don't attend section.

# 1 SCCs (10 points)

Execute a DFS on the directed graph shown below starting at node A and breaking ties alphabetically.



1. Fill in the table of pre and post values.

Node	pre	post
A		
В		
С		
D		
E		
F		
G		
Н		
J		

Edge	Туре
$E \rightarrow G$	
$C \rightarrow B$	
$A \rightarrow D$	
$H \rightarrow J$	

2. In the DFS execution from above, mark the following edges as as **T** for Tree, **F** for Forward, **B** for Back and **C** for Cross.

Final

3. List the strongly connected components of the above graph in a linearized order. (Note that the number of SCCs is possibly smaller than the number of rows provided in the table below)

SCC no.	Vertices in the component
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

### 2 Runtime Analysis (5 points)

Consider the following snippet of code.

```
Function what(n) {

what(\sqrt{n})

what(\sqrt{n})

for k = 1 to n {

print BLAH

}
```

Write a recurrence relation for the runtime.

Write the tightest upper bound for runtime.

### 3 Linear-Time Selection for the Median (5 points)

Consider the execution of the linear-time selection algorithm to find the median element of the following array:

1	3	5	7	9	2	4	6	8
				-			-	-

1. What is the best possible value of the first pivot element (one that would make the algorithm terminate

the fastest)?

2. Give an example of the worst possible sequence of choices of pivot elements for finding median using

the algorithm (one that would make the algorithm terminate the slowest)?


3. What is best possible value of the first pivot if the input was the following array?

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1

5

#### 4 **Post Values (4 points)**

A **part of a directed graph** is shown below. There are other vertices and edges in the graph that are not shown in the picture (but all edges **between** vertices *A*, *B*, *C*, *D* are shown).




Consider a DFS run on the entire graph. Indicate whether each of the following subsets of post-values is possible or impossible.

Vertex $v$	post[v]		Vertex $v$	post[v]	
А	22	-	А	22	
В	100		В	36	
С	64		С	64	
D	36		D	100	
Tabl	e 1		Table 2		
$\bigcirc$ pos	$\bigcirc$ possible		$\bigcirc$ possible		
○ impo	$\bigcirc$ impossible		$\bigcirc$ impossible		

### 5 Floyd-Warshall algorithm (4 points)

Consider the following undirected graph *G* on vertices numbered  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ , with edge lengths shown below.




Consider the execution of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm for all-pairs shortest paths on graph *G*. The distance values between pairs of vertices converge to their true value as the algorithm executes. Order the following distance values from the earliest to converge, to the last to converge.

Assume that the Floyd-Warshall algorithm uses the same ordering of vertices as the given names of the vertices.

First	Second	Third	Fourth

### 6 Unique MST (5 points)

Consider the undirected graph below with edge weights omitted. Suppose the dashed edges represent the unique minimum spanning tree (MST) in the graph.



1. How many edges are necessarily heavier than the edge *FE*?

- 2. How many edges are necessarily lighter than the edge *DH*?
- 3. Suppose k is the number of distinct edge weights in the graph, what is the smallest possible value of


7

*k*?

Final


# 7 Min Cuts (4 points)

Assume that the capacity of every edge in the graph below is equal to 1. How many distinct minimum cuts



#### Final

### 8 Kruskal and Prim's Algorithm (5 points)



The ordering of the weights of the edges in the above graph is as follows.

$$AB < EH < AD = FG < GD < BC < CD = AE < CF < AC < EG < AJ < GH < JH$$

(Assume all ties between edge-lengths are broken alphabetically)

1. What are the first 4 edges that Kruskal's algorithm includes in the tree? Write the edges in the order they are included.

First edge	Second edge	Third edge	Fourth edge

2. What are the first 4 edges that Prim's algorithm starting at *A* includes in the tree? Write the edges in First edge | Second edge | Third edge | Fourth edge |

	rirst eage	Second edge	Third edge	rourin eage	
the order they are included.					

### 9 Two-Player Game (5 points)

There are  $n^2$  soldiers standing on a  $n \times n$ -grid (in n rows of n soldiers each).

Pick the tallest soldier from each row and then the shortest among them, call him *A*. Alternately, pick the shortest soldier from each column and then the tallest among them, call him *B*.

Which of the following statements are true? (If there are multiple true statements, mark all that are true. 5 points for marking all true statements, -3 for each false statement marked true. **Negative points on this question will apply to the exam total score.**) (**Hint:** Is there a two-player game lurking beneath?)

- Depending on the heights of soldiers, *A* can be taller than *B* or vice versa.
- $\bigcirc$  *A* is always at least as tall as *B*.
- $\bigcirc$  *B* is always at least as tall as *A*.
- $\bigcirc$  *A* and *B* are always the same height.
- $\bigcirc$  *A* and *B* are always the same person.

## 10 NP-completeness true/false (10 points)

For each of the following questions, there are four options:

(1) True (T); (2) False (F); (3) True if and only if  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ ; (4) True if and only if  $\mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$ .

Final

Circle one for each question.

**Note:** By "reduction" in this exam it is always meant "polynomial-time reduction with one call to the problem being reduced to."

If a statement is True or False without any assumptions on **P** and **NP**, pick that option over the other three.

1. Minimum Spanning Tree problem is not *NP*-complete


	От	$\bigcirc F$	$\bigcirc \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{P}$	$\bigcirc \mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$
2. CircuitSAT reduces to 3-SAT.				
	ОТ	⊖F	$\bigcirc \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{P}$	$\bigcirc \mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$
3. 3-SAT reduces to CircuitSAT.				
	От	OF	$\bigcirc \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{P}$	$\bigcirc \mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$
4. Every <i>NP</i> problem reduces to integer programming.				
	ОТ	⊖F	$\bigcirc \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{P}$	$\bigcirc \mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$
5. 3-SAT reduces to HornSAT.	L			
	От	⊖F	$\bigcirc \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{N}\mathbf{P}$	$\bigcirc \mathbf{P} \neq \mathbf{NP}$

#### 11 Buggy Distinct Elements (6 points)

The following code was intended to estimate the number of distinct elements in a stream of integers in the range  $\{1, ..., n\}$ . But the code is buggy.

```
h \leftarrow a pairwise independent hash function from \{1, \dots, n\} 
ightarrow (0,1)
```

- 1.  $s \leftarrow \texttt{first} \texttt{ element} \texttt{ of} \texttt{ stream}$
- 2.  $\alpha = h(s)$
- 3. For each upcoming stream element r do
- 4. If  $(\alpha < h(r))$   $\alpha \leftarrow h(r)$
- 5. Return  $\lceil 1/\alpha \rceil$ .
- 1. What is the first line number for which the code behaves differently from the distinct elements algorithm given in class?



correct.

## 12 True/False (14 points)

1. Suppose all the capacities in a graph are even numbers then the value of Maximum Flow is an even number.

Final

- 2. Suppose all the capacities in a graph are odd numbers then the value of Maximum Flow is an odd number
- 3. The knapsack problem can be approximated to a factor of  $1 + 10^{-6}$  in polynomial time.
- 4. Let G = (V, E) be a graph with edge weights  $w_e$  for each edge  $e \in E$ , and a unique minimum spanning tree *T*. Here the edge weights can be positive or negative. Suppose we change the edge weights to  $w'_e = w^2_e$  for each edge  $e \in E$ , then *T* is also a minimum spanning tree for the new weights  $w'_e$ .
- 5. Let G = (V, E) be a graph with edge weights  $w_e$  for each edge  $e \in E$ , and a unique minimum spanning tree T. Here the edge weights can be positive or negative. Suppose we change the edge weights to  $w'_e = 2^{w_e}$  for each edge  $e \in E$ , then T is also a minimum spanning tree for the new weights  $w'_e$ .
- 6. Consider a graph *G* all of whose edge weights are either positive integers or are equal to -1. Dijkstra's algorithm on *G* will always return the correct shortest path distances while updating each edge once.
- 7. Suppose a graph *G* with edge weights contains a cycle *C*, then the edge with the largest weight on *C* cannot be in any minimum spanning tree.

⊖True	⊖False

⊖True

⊖True



⊖True

⊖False

○ False


○ False



⊖True	⊖False

#### 13 Fill in the Blanks (24 points)

When asked for a bound, always give the tightest bound possible. In particular, if an exact bound is possible, then give it instead of an asymptotic bound. Some questions have choices in parentheses after the answer box.



- 6. In union/find data structure of *n* items, if we use union by size without path compression then any combination of *m* unions and/or find operations takes at most time.
- 7. Recall that Dijkstra's algorithm is run using a priority queue (whose implementation is a heap) where one uses the operations DeleteMin which returns and deletes the minimum valued entry in the queue and and DecreaseKey which decreases the value of the key for an item in the queue. Consider a graph *G* with *n* vertices and *m* edges and non-negative edge weights.

(a) Give an asymptotic upper bound on the number of DeleteMin operations when Dijkstra's algorithm is run on *G*.

Final

- (b) Give an asymptotic upper bound on the number of DecreaseKey operations when Dijkstra's algorithm is run on *G*.
- 8. Any acyclic undirected graph with *n* vertices and exactly edges is a tree.
- 9. What is the minimum number of connected components in an undirected graph with *n* vertices and *m* edges?
- 10. A vertex of the feasible region for a linear program with *m* constraints and *n* variables has at least tight constraints. (A constraint of the form  $a \cdot x \le b$  is tight if  $a \cdot x = b$ .)
- 11. For the Knapsack Problem without repetition for items with values  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  and weights  $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ , where K(w, i) is the maximum value subset of the first *i* elements of weight *w*. The recurrence is K(w, i) =
- 12. For the multiplicative weights algorithm with  $\epsilon > 0$  on *n* experts whose losses in the range (0, 1] (each expert always has a non-zero loss), the potential function which is the sum of the weights of all the

experts is strictly

(Answer should increasing or decreasing.))

### 14 3-SAT for Powers of Two (8 points)

Prof. Bluffman has discovered an algorithm *PowerSAT* that solves 3-SAT instances in *m* clauses only when *m* is a power of two, in time  $O(m^3)$ . Complete the following argument that Prof. Bluffman has proved NP = P.

Proof: We use PowerSAT	algorithm to solve the		problem on all instances.
Since the P.	problem i	s	, this implies that $NP =$
Given an instance $\Phi$ of		problem, we constr	uct an instance $\Phi'$ as follows,
		·	
		·	

We then run the PowerSAT algorithm on  $\Phi'$ .

## **15** Happiest Increasing Subsequence (15 points)

You are given an array of positive numbers  $a[1], \ldots, a[n]$ . For an increasing subsequence of numbers,  $a[i_1] < a[i_2] < \cdots < a[i_t]$ , its happiness score is given by


Final

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^t \ell * a[i_\ell]$$

For example for the input a = [22, 44, 33, 66, 55], the increasing subsequence [22, 44, 55] has happiness score (1) \* (22) + (2) \* (44) + (3) \* (55) = 275 while the increasing subsequence [22, 33, 55] has happiness score (1) \* (22) + (2) \* (33) + (3) \* (55) = 253.

Devise a dynamic programming algorithm to compute the happiest increasing subsequence.

#### 1. Subproblems



#### 3. Runtime

#### Final

#### 16 Weakest Link

The country of Snowland has *n* cities which are connected by a road network, specified by a graph  $G = (\{1, ..., n\}, E)$ .

Excessive snowfall often disrupts the edges in the network. Every edge  $(u, v) \in E$  has an associated *strength* s[u, v] – a positive number. The strength of an edge indicates how much snowfall it can withstand.

For a path *P* in the graph, its strength s(P) is the minimum strength of an edge on the path *P*. (*A path is only as strong as the weakest edge on it*).

For a pair of vertices i, j, let D[i, j] denote the strength of the strongest path from i to j. Formally,

$$D[i, j] = \max_{\text{paths } P \text{ from } i \text{ to } j} s(P)$$

- 1. (10 points) Recall the dynamic programming based algorithm for all-pairs shortest path (Floyd-Warshall algorithm). We will (slightly) modify it to compute D[i, j] for all pairs of vertices i, j.
  - (a) The definition of subproblems d[i, j, k] is,

(b) The base cases are given by,

(c) The recurrence relation would be

2. (6 points) We will now modify Djikstra's algorithm so as to compute strongest paths from a single vertex. Here is the pseudocode for Djikstra's algorithm on a graph *G* with edge lengths  $\ell[u, v]$ .

Final

```
procedure Djikstra(G, edge lengths \ell)
            for i=1 to n
 1
 2
                   dist[i] = \infty
 3
                   prev[i] = null
 4
            dist[1] = 0
 5
            H = \texttt{makequeue}(V)
 6
            while H is not empty
 7
                   u = \texttt{deletemin}(H).
 8
                   for all edges (u, v) \in E
 9
                        if dist[v] \ge dist[u] + \ell[u,v]:
                              dist[v] = dist[u] + \ell[u, v]
10
                              prev(v) = u
11
                              decreasekey(H, v)
12
```

How would you modify the following line so as to compute strongest paths instead of shortest paths?

If 
$$(dist[v] \ge dist[u] + \ell[u,v])$$
:  $dist[v] = dist[u] + \ell[u,v]$ 

Your modification will appropriately use s[u, v] – the strengths of roads in the graph *G*.

(Hint: the dist[u] values in the modified code do not need to exactly equal the strengths of the paths, but *prev* pointers would yield the strongest path)

### 17 NP-Completeness Reductions (10 points)

Show that the following problems are NP-complete by providing a polynomial-time reduction. You may assume that the following problems are NP-complete: Rudrata (Hamiltonian) Path, Rudrata (Hamiltonian) Cycle, Vertex Cover, Independent Set, 3-SAT and Integer Programming.

#### 1. Far-Away Points

Γ

**Input:** Distances  $\{d[i, j]\}$  between *n* cities numbered  $\{1, ..., n\}$  such that they satisfy the triangle inequality:

$$d[i,j] + d[j,k] \ge d[i,k] \qquad \forall i,j,k$$

and positive numbers *k* and *D*.

Solution: A set *S* of *k* cities that are at least *D* away from each other, i.e.,

$$d[i,j] \ge D \qquad \forall i,j \in S$$

*Proof. It is clear that Far-Away Points problem is in NP. Now we will use a polynomial time reduction to show that the problem is indeed NP-complete.* 

Given an instance $\Phi$ of the p	roblem	we will construct an instance $\Psi$ of the problem
	as follows.	

*The proof that this is a valid reduction is as follows:* 


### 18 Usage Logs (15 points)

A network router is monitoring login/logout requests to a social media platform. Each request that the router observes is of the form below.

Request  $r\{$ 

- r.Time # a number in  $\{1, ..., m\}$  indicating the time (in minutes from start of the day) at which request is received.
- r.Id # a number in  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  that is the identity of the user.
- *r.Type* # either LOGIN/LOGOUT indicating the type of the request.

}

The router is trying to estimate the average time spent by a user on the website using a streaming algorithm. Assume that the stream of requests is a valid in that,

- 1. There is never a logout request for a user who is not currently logged in.
- 2. The system begins with no user logged in, and all the users logout by the end of the stream.

Note that the same user can have multiple login/logout sessions in the stream. Fill in the pseudocode on the next page.

1	$h \leftarrow$ a random hash function from $\{1, \dots, n\}  ightarrow (0, 1)$
2	Define your variables here (indicate the type of each variable, and its initial value)
3	for each request $r$ in stream do
4	if $(r \text{ is a LOGIN request})$ {
5	
5	
6	}
7	if $(r \text{ is a LOGOUT request})$ {
8	
9	}
10	and For loop
10	end For loop
11	Return

### **19** Three-Tour (15 points)

Recall that a TSP tour is a cycle that visits all the vertices of a graph exactly once.

Analogously, a three-tour consists of three **disjoint** cycles  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  such that each vertex appears in exactly one of the cycles exactly once. The cost of a 3-tour is the sum of the lengths of the edges on the three cycles. (Here a single vertex is considered a cycle of length 1, so one or more of the cycles  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  can consist of a single vertex.)

Suppose you are given a complete graph on *n* vertices with distances  $dist(\cdot, \cdot)$  between pairs of vertices. Assume that the distances satisfy the triangle inequality in that for each triple of vertices *i*, *j*, *k*,

$$dist(i, j) + dist(j, k) \ge dist(i, k)$$

Describe a 2-approximation algorithm for the minimum cost three-tour problem. (Give a succinct but clear description of your algorithm in at most four sentences)

We will now sketch a proof that the algorithm yields a 2-approximation to the Three-Tour problem (on next page).

**Proof of approximation factor:** We will split the proof into the following two claims.

Claim 1: Cost of the optimal Three-Tour is at least the cost of ..

**Proof of Claim1:** *The claim holds because,* 

**Claim 2:** *Cost of Three-Tour output by the algorithm is at most twice the cost of..* 

------

**Proof of Claim 2:** *We will omit the proof of claim 2 here.* 

## 20 Multiplicative weights intuition.(10 points)

Recall that the multiplicative weights method starts with a weight  $w_i$  of 1 for each expert *i*. Furthermore, on a day where an expert *i* suffers a loss of  $\ell_i$ , the weights are updated by multiplying the weight of an expert by  $(1 - \epsilon)^{\ell_i}$  if the loss for expert *i* is  $\ell_i$ . The algorithm chooses an expert with probability proportional to  $w_i$  and suffers the loss of the chosen expert.

- 1. Consider a simple situation with two experts *A* and *B* where expert *A* loses 1/3 every day and expert *B* loses 2/3 every day. If one runs the multiplicative weights framework on this situation, with  $\epsilon = 1/2$ .
  - (a) What is the weight of expert *A* on day *d*?
  - (b) What is the probability of choosing expert *A* on day *d*?
  - (c) How many days does it take so that one chooses expert *A* with probability at least .99?
- 2. What if there are n + 1 experts and the first expert loses 1/3 and all other experts lose 2/3 every day, how many days does one need for the probability of choosing first expert to be least .99?

#### 21 Linear Programming relaxation of Travelling Salesman (10 points)

For the Traveling Salesman problem, one is given a set of cities V = [1, ..., n] and distances d(i, j) between pairs of cities. A tour is a circular ordering of the cities.

Thus, we formulate an (integer) linear programming relaxation where a variable  $x_{i,j}$  is 1 if the pair *i*, *j* are adjacent in a tour and zero otherwise: in some sense these are the edges in the cycle that form a tour. Also, we note that  $x_{i,j}$  is unordered in that there is only one variable for  $x_{i,j}$  and  $x_{j,i}$ .

The linear program's objective function is

$$\min\sum_{i,j\in V} d(i,j)x_{i,j}$$

The notion that an edge cannot be used more than once can be enforced by equations of the form:

$$\forall i, j \in V, x_{i,j} \leq 1.$$

We call these the packing constraints.

Also, notice for a tour, each vertex is incident to exactly 2 edges.

$$\forall i \sum_{j} x_{i,j} = 2$$

which we call the degree constraint.

Moreover, the number of edges between the two sides of a partition  $V = S \cup \overline{S}$  of the cities, must be at least two as a tour should be connected and enter and leave any subset.

$$\forall S \subset V, \sum_{i \in S, j \in V-S} x_{i,j} \ge 2$$

These constraints are the subtour elimination constraints. And finally, we have that  $x_{i,i} \ge 0$  for all i, j.

The dual linear program will have dual variables  $y_{i,j}$  for each packing constraint for pair of vertices *i*, *j*, and  $y_i$  for each degree constraint for each vertex *i*, and  $y_s$  for each subtour elimination constraint for each set  $S \subset V$ . Write the dual linear program using these variables.

1. What is the objective function?

2. What are the constraints?

Final