# Midterm: Solutions

1. Linear functions of images. In this problem we consider linear functions of an image with  $2 \times 2$  pixels shown below.

3	$\boxed{7}$
8	5

This given image can be represented as the 4-vector $\begin{bmatrix} 3\\7\\8\\5 \end{bmatrix}$	3 7 8 5	
--	------------------	--

Each of the operations described below defines a linear transformation y = f(x), where the 4-vector x represents the original image, and the 4-vector y represents the resulting or transformed image. For each of these operations, provide the  $4 \times 4$  matrix A for which y = Ax. Also in each case, determine the rank of the matrix A.

(a) Reflect the original image x across the vertical (i.e. bottom-to-top) axis.

(b) Rotate the original image x clockwise 90°.

SID:

(c) Rotate the original image x clockwise by  $180^{\circ}$ .

(d) Set each pixel value  $y_i$  to be the average of the neighbors of pixel *i* in the original image. We define neighbors, to be the pixels immediately above and below and to the left and right. For the  $2 \times 2$  matrix, every pixel has 2 neighbors.

### Solution:

(a) For y = Ax, we have the reflection (across the vertical axis) matrix A:

[0	1	0	0	[3]		[7]
1	0	0	0	7		3
0	0	0	1	8	=	5
0	0	1	0	$\lfloor 5 \rfloor$		8

Where y is the flipped image x. Here rank(A) = 4 since all the columns are linearly independent.

(b) For y = Ax, we have the 90° rotation matrix A:

	0	0	1	0	3		8	
	1	0	0	0	7		3	
	0	0	0	1	8	=	5	
l	0	1	0	0	5		7	

Where y is the rotated image x. Here rank(A) = 4 since all the columns are linearly independent.

(c) For y = Ax, we have the 180° rotation A:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where y is the rotated image x. Here rank(A) = 4 since all the columns are linearly independent.

(d) For y = Ax, we have the matrix A:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3\\ 7\\ 8\\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7.5\\ 4\\ 4\\ 7.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where  $y_i$  is the average of the neighbors of pixel *i*. Here rank(A) = 2 since there are only 2 columns that are linearly independent.

SID:

2. Fun with the SVD. Consider the  $4 \times 3$  matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where  $a_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  form a set of *orthogonal* vectors satisfying  $||a_1||_2 = 3$ ,  $||a_2||_2 = 2$ ,  $||a_3||_2 = 1$ .

(a) What is the SVD of A? Express it as  $A = USV^{\top}$ , with S the diagonal matrix of singular values ordered in decreasing fashion, and explicitly describe U and V.

(b) Write A as a sum of 3 rank-one matrices.

(c) What is the dimension of the null space,  $\dim(\operatorname{null}(A))$ ?

(d) What is the rank of A, rank(A)? Provide an orthonormal basis for the range of A.

(e) Find the maximum "gain" of A (the amount that A can "expand" an input vectors  $\ell_2$  norm). More formally, what is the value of  $\max_{x:||x||_2=1} \frac{||Ax||_2}{||x||_2}$ ?

(f) If  $I_3$  denotes the 3 × 3 identity matrix, consider the matrix  $\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ I_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{7 \times 3}$ ? What are the singular values of  $\tilde{A}$  (in terms of the singular values of A)?

### Solution:

(a) The SVD of  $A = USV^{\top}$ . Due to the orthogonality of the  $a_i$  we have that

$$A^{\top}A = VS^{2}V = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 4 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

Thus V = I and S = diag(3, 2, 1). Finally we have that  $U = AS^{-1}$  which becomes

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a_1}{3} & \frac{a_2}{2} & \frac{a_3}{1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

(b) If we let  $e_i$  denote the standard basis vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  then we immediately obtain that

$$A = a_1 e_1^{\top} + a_2 e_2^{\top} + a_3 e_3^{\top}.$$
 (4)

Expanding out the SVD of the matrix is also a valid answer.

- (c) From part (a) all of the singular values of the A are non-zero. So the dimension of the null space is 0. Alternatively, all the columns of A are orthogonal – so no (non-zero) linear combination of them can equal zero.
- (d) The rank of A is simply the number of non-zero singular values. So rank(A) = 3. The columns of U (defined above) provide an orthonormal basis for the range of A.

- (e) As defined the maximum "gain" of A (also knowns as it spectral norm) is simply given by its largest singular value. Hence the maximum "gain" of A is 3.
- (f) We have that  $\tilde{A}^{\top}\tilde{A} = A^{\top}A + I_3 = V(S^2 + I_3)V^{\top}$ . Hence if we denote  $\sigma_i$  as the singular values of A then the singular values of  $\tilde{A}$  are  $\tilde{\sigma}_i = \sqrt{\sigma_i^2 + 1}$  which are  $\sqrt{10}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{2}$ .

It's fine if they write either the algebraic expression or the explicit values for this question.

SID:

3. Regression and Applications. We first consider the regularized least-squares problem,

$$w_{\lambda} := \arg\min_{w} \|y - Xw\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \|w\|_{2}^{2}, \tag{5}$$

and subsequently an application to modeling time series. To begin, we investigate several fundamental properties of regression. Here,  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n,p}$  is the data matrix (with one data point per row),  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the response vector, and  $\lambda > 0$  is a "ridge" regularization parameter.

(a) Assume, only for this part, that n < p. Is  $X^{\top}X$  invertible? Explain your reasoning.

(b) Now assume no relation between n and p. Is  $X^{\top}X + \lambda I$  invertible? Explain your reasoning.

(c) Show that the solution to the full problem can be written as

$$w_{\lambda} = (X^{\top}X + \lambda I)^{-1}X^{\top}y \tag{6}$$

SID:

(d) Now suppose we would like find w that minimizes,

$$w = \arg\min_{w} \{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \| y_i - X_i w \|_2^2 \}$$
(7)

(so it jointly fits k different linear regression objectives). Explain how to reformulate this problem as a *single* least-squares problem with augmented  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{y}$  in an objective  $\|\tilde{y} - \tilde{X}w\|_2^2$ , and find the solution w to the aforementioned problem<sup>1</sup>.

(e) What is the computational complexity (in big-O notation) of computing the solution the previous question in terms of n, p, k? Assume each  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  and  $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and once again that the relevant square matrices are invertible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>you may assume the relevant square matrices are invertible.

*Periodic Time Series.* We now consider an application to the problem of modeling a periodic time series  $z_t$  which we approximate by a sum of K sinusoids:

$$z_t \approx \hat{z}_t = \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \cos(\omega_k t - \phi_k) \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$
(8)

The coefficient  $a_k \ge 0$  are the amplitudes,  $\omega_k$  the frequencies, and  $\phi_k$  the phases. In many applications (and the one we consider) the frequencies  $\omega_k$  are apriori known and **fixed**. We wish to find  $a_1, \ldots, a_K$  and  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_K$  to ensure the means-squared value of the approximation error  $(\hat{z}_1 - z_1, \ldots, \hat{z}_T - z_t)$  is small.

(f) Explain how to solve the aforementioned problem using (regularized) least squares to estimate  $a_1, \ldots, a_K$  and  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_K$ . Be explicit in the mappings between the values  $z_t, a_k, \omega_k, \phi_k$  in the original formulation and the standard regression parametrization  $y, X, w_\lambda$  (detailed in the beginning of the question), and the dimensions of the relevant vectors/matrices. *Hint: Recall the identity*  $a \cos(\omega t - \phi) =$  $\alpha \cos(\omega t) + \beta \sin(\omega t)$  for  $\alpha = a \cos \phi$  and  $\beta = a \sin \phi$ , with  $a = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$  and  $\phi = \arctan(\beta/\alpha)$ .

#### Solution:

- (a) Since n < p we have that  $X^{\top}X \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  has rank at most n and hence cannot be invertible (although it is positive-semi-definite).
- (b)  $X^{\top}X + \lambda I$  is always invertible. We can see this by using the SVD of X which gives that  $X^{\top}X + \lambda I = V(S + \lambda I)V^{\top}$ . Since  $S \succeq 0$  (because  $X^{\top}X$  is positive semi-definite) the minimum eigenvalue of  $X^{\top}X + \lambda I$  is bounded below by  $\lambda > 0$ , so the matrix must be invertible.
- (c) We can rewrite the ridge regression objective as an augmented least-squares problem as  $\min_{w} \|\tilde{y} - \tilde{X}w\|_{2}^{2}$  with  $\tilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ \sqrt{\lambda}I_{p} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\tilde{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Writing down and expanding the normal equations  $w_{\lambda} = (\tilde{X}^{\top}\tilde{X})^{-1}\tilde{X}^{\top}\tilde{y} = (X^{\top}X + \lambda I)^{-1}X^{\top}y$ .

Alternatively, The first-order stationary conditions give that

$$X^{\top}(X^{\top}w_{\lambda}-y)+\lambda w_{\lambda}=0 \implies (X^{\top}X+\lambda I)w_{\lambda}=X^{\top}y \implies w_{\lambda}=(X^{\top}X+\lambda I)^{-1}X^{\top}y$$

(d) We can define  $\tilde{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_k} X_k \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\tilde{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_k} y_k \end{bmatrix}$  for which  $\min_w \|\tilde{y} - \tilde{X}w\|_2^2$  will have the same solution

have the same solution.

We can expand out the solution from the single least-squares problem (from the normal equations) as,

$$w = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i X_i^{\top} X\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i X_i^{\top} y_i\right)$$
(9)

(It's fine if students did not explicitly write the expansion if their reformulation was correct and they wrote the solution to a single least-squares problem).

- (e) The dominant computational cost is computing the matrix inverse. First computing  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i X_i^{\top} X$  takes  $O(knp^2)$  time. Inverting it takes an additional  $O(p^3)$  time.
- (f) Using the identity we have that,

$$z_t \approx \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \cos(\omega_k t - \phi_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_k \cos(\omega_k t) + \beta_k \sin(\omega_k t)$$
(10)

We can formulate as a linear regression where  $y \in \mathbb{R}^T$  is the concatenated vector of  $z_t$ 's, the *t*th row of X (the analogue of datapoint) is the row vector of  $(\cos(\omega_k t), \sin(\omega_k t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{2K}$ , and the concatenated vector of  $(\alpha_k, \beta_k)$  corresponds to  $w_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{2K}$ . More explicitly, we can map the sinusoid data into the regression parametrization as

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_T \end{bmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

and that,

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\omega_1 \cdot 1) & \dots & \cos(\omega_k 1) & \sin(\omega_1 \cdot 1) & \dots & \sin(\omega_k 1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & & & \\ \cos(\omega_1 \cdot T) & \dots & \cos(\omega_k T) & \sin(\omega_1 \cdot T) & \dots & \sin(\omega_k T) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

with

$$w_{\lambda} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{k} \\ \beta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{k} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (13)$$

corresponding to the solution of the regression.

From this estimate of  $w_{\lambda}$  we can recover estimates of  $a_k$  and  $\phi_k$  using the identities  $a = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$  and  $\phi = \arctan(\beta/\alpha)$ . Explicitly, we will estimate,

$$a_{k} = \sqrt{(w_{\lambda})_{k}^{2} + (w_{\lambda})_{k+K})^{2}}$$
(14)

and

$$\phi_k = \arctan\left[\frac{(w_\lambda)_{k+K}}{(w_\lambda)_k}\right] \tag{15}$$

(It's fine if students did not explicitly write down this last mapping if their previous formulation was correct and they explained how to recover  $a_k, \phi_k$  from the regression).

Note the ordering of the features in the matrix X and  $w_{\lambda}$  we have chosen here is not essential – other orderings are equally valid.

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

SID:

4. Positive-Definite Matrices and Hessians.

Let  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  by a real, symmetric positive-definite matrix. Consider the function

$$f_{\lambda}(x) = \|C - xx^{\top}\|_{F}^{2} + 2\lambda \|x\|_{2}^{2}$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

(a) Compute the Hessian matrix of the function  $f_{\lambda}(x)$  with respect to x,  $\nabla^2 f_{\lambda}(x)$ . *Hint: Note that*  $\|C - xx^{\top}\|_F^2 = \|C\|_F^2 + \|x\|_2^4 - 2x^{\top}Cx$ .

SID:

(b) When is the Hessian matrix (which depends on x), positive semi-definite at *all* points x? You should derive an "if and only if" condition expressed in terms of  $\lambda$  and a function of the matrix C.

## Solution:

(a) We can express the function as  $f_{\lambda}(x) = (||x||_2^2 + \lambda)^2 - 2x^{\top}Cx + ||C||_F^2 - \lambda^2$ . The gradient and Hessian of  $f_{\lambda}(x)$  at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are

$$\frac{1}{4}\nabla f_{\lambda}(x) = (\|x\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda)x - Cx, \quad \frac{1}{4}\nabla^{2}f_{\lambda}(x) = (\|x\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda)I_{n} + 2xx^{\top} - C.$$

(b) The Hessian is PSD everywhere if and only if

$$\forall x, v, \|v\|_2 = 1 : \|x\|_2^2 + \lambda \ge v^\top (C - 2xx^\top)v$$

The above is equivalent to

$$\lambda \geq \max_{\substack{x, v : \|v\|_{2}=1}} v^{\top} C v - 2(v^{\top} x)^{2} - x^{\top} x$$
  
= 
$$\max_{\substack{v : \|v\|_{2}=1}} v^{\top} C v - \min_{x} (x^{\top} x + 2(v^{\top} x)^{2})$$
  
= 
$$\lambda_{\max}(C).$$

since  $\min_x (x^{\top} x + 2(v^{\top} x)^2) = 0.$